



Index of contractile asymmetry improves patient selection for CRT

A proof-of-concept study

Zaremba, Tomas; Tayal, Bhupendar; Riahi, Sam; Thøgersen, Anna Margrethe; Bruun, Niels Eske; Emerek, Kasper Janus Grønn; Kisslo, Joseph; Hansen, Thomas Fritz; Risum, Niels; Søgaard, Peter

Published in:
Cardiovascular Ultrasound

DOI:
[10.1186/s12947-019-0170-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12947-019-0170-2)

Publication date:
2019

Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:
[CC BY](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Zaremba, T., Tayal, B., Riahi, S., Thøgersen, A. M., Bruun, N. E., Emerek, K. J. G., Kisslo, J., Hansen, T. F., Risum, N., & Søgaard, P. (2019). Index of contractile asymmetry improves patient selection for CRT: A proof-of-concept study. *Cardiovascular Ultrasound*, 17, [19]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12947-019-0170-2>

RESEARCH

Open Access



Index of contractile asymmetry improves patient selection for CRT: a proof-of-concept study

Tomas Zaremba^{1*} , Bhupendar Tayal¹, Sam Riahi^{1,2}, Anna Margrethe Thøgersen¹, Niels Eske Bruun^{3,2,4}, Kasper Janus Grønn Emerek², Joseph Kisslo⁵, Thomas Fritz Hansen⁶, Niels Risum⁷ and Peter Søgaard^{1,2}

Abstract

Background: Nearly one-third of heart failure (HF) patients do not respond to cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) despite having left bundle branch block (LBBB). The aim of the study was to investigate a novel method of quantifying left ventricular (LV) contractile asymmetry in HF.

Methods: Patients with HF and LBBB undergoing CRT ($n = 89$, 37.1% females, 68 ± 9 years, ischemic etiology in 61%, LV ejection fraction $27.1 \pm 7.1\%$) were analyzed. LV longitudinal systolic strain rate values were extracted from curved anatomical M-mode plots of standard long-axis 2D-echocardiography images and cubic spline interpolation was used to generate a 3D-phantom. Index of contractile asymmetry (ICA) was calculated based on standard deviation of differences in strain rate of opposing walls. Average ICA was individually assessed pairwise in 12 opposing 30-degree LV sectors. Reduction in LV end-systolic volume (ESV) $\geq 15\%$ after 6 months was considered as positive response to CRT.

Results: CRT response was found in 66 (74.2%) patients. Responders with both ischemic and non-ischemic cardiomyopathy had a higher and more extensive contractile asymmetry at baseline and achieved a greater ICA reduction after CRT than non-responders. Higher baseline ICA predicted higher degree and wider extent of ICA improvement. Also, both ICA at baseline and reduction of ICA correlated with the degree of ESV reduction after CRT.

Conclusions: Quantification of asymmetrical LV activation in 3D by ICA provides valuable insights into LV contraction in case of LBBB and is a promising tool for improved patient selection for CRT.

Keywords: Strain rate, Heart failure, Cardiac resynchronization therapy, Contractile asymmetry

Background

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) is an established treatment in symptomatic systolic heart failure (HF) patients and the strongest body of evidence is seen in patients with left bundle branch block (LBBB). However, despite pre-selection by ECG morphology, the number of non-responders is disappointingly high.

Assessment of mechanical dyssynchrony by echocardiography provides incremental prognostic information both pre- and post-implantation [1–8], in particular when

assessing dyssynchrony patterns compatible with an underlying conduction disorder [3–7]. However, there are still remaining issues to be resolved, such as precise location of dyssynchrony for optimal lead positioning during implantation as well as impact of resynchronization in the left ventricular (LV) lead target area to restore a near normal contraction pattern.

Currently used tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) and speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) techniques deduct the presence of LV mechanical dyssynchrony from a stringently limited number of curves. Despite increasingly high spatial resolution, the deformation data in 2D echocardiography in such case are reduced to two or at most six curves per view, while in 3D, LV dyssynchrony

* Correspondence: tz@rn.dk

¹Department of Cardiology, Aalborg University Hospital, Hobrovej 18-22, 9100 Aalborg, Denmark

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

is usually judged from 16 deformation curves based on a 16-segment LV model [9].

The aim of this proof-of-concept study was to define the impact of the three-dimensional localization and magnitude of STE-based LV contractile asymmetry of entire opposing walls on improvement in LV function after CRT in patients with HF and LBBB.

Methods

The study was conducted as an analysis of prospectively acquired data in patients with HF having LBBB who underwent implantation of CRT device at two centers: Aalborg University Hospital and Gentofte University Hospital. Only the patients fulfilling ECG criteria for typical LBBB and QRS duration ≥ 120 ms were included [10]. Follow-up period was at least 6 months after the CRT implantation. Positive response to CRT was defined as a reduction of LV end-systolic volume (ESV) by $\geq 15\%$ compared to baseline echocardiography [11–13]. In total, 89 patients were included (Aalborg $n = 49$, Gentofte $n = 40$). In addition, echocardiograms from 10 healthy volunteers aged ≥ 18 years with no previous cardiac history were used as controls.

Clinical characteristics

Medical records of the patients were reviewed manually. Following pre-implantation data were collected: New York Heart Association class, standard heart failure and lipid-lowering medical therapy, renal function,


and QRS duration on the 12-lead ECG. Chronic kidney disease was defined as estimated glomerular filtration rate below $60 \text{ ml/min/1.73 m}^2$ body surface area. Ischemic etiology of cardiomyopathy was defined as having a previous diagnosis of an acute coronary event, having undergone a revascularization procedure, or having a significant coronary artery stenosis ($> 70\%$).

Image analysis

Strain rate

The longitudinal strain rate analysis was performed on ECG-gated 2D-echocardiography images using EchoPAC (r) software version 201 (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI). Two-chamber, three-chamber, and four-chamber 2D images acquired at a mean frame rate of $67.4 \pm 11.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ were analyzed. The duration of systole was defined as the period from the onset of QRS complex to the aortic valve closure in trans-aortic continuous wave Doppler trace. Afterwards, a regular STE-based strain rate analysis was performed.

The first step of the present fully automated approach was to extract the strain rate data during systole from the curved anatomical M-mode (CAMM) plots (Fig. 1). Standard echocardiography analysis packages such as EchoPAC (r) usually export quantitative data of six segments per view. A strain rate CAMM plot is a rectangular image depicting a strain rate value in each pixel. CAMM plots, which are used for visual representation of strain rate propagation typically contain 330 data-lines per view (the vertical dimension of the



CAMM plot). Each of the pixels of the systolic part of a CAMM plot was converted to a strain rate value. For this decoding, the color scale provided in the upper part of EchoPAC (r) display was used. The automated algorithm of the conversion process is outlined in Additional file 1.


The resulting individual strain rate values from each pixel were then arranged in the same order as the corresponding pixels in the CAMM plot. This gives a table of strain rate values, which has the same number of rows and columns as the vertical and the horizontal dimensions of the systolic CAMM plot. As in the original CAMM plot, the upper and the lower halves of the resulting table represent the strain rate from the two opposing walls of the LV, while the LV apex is “located” between the two halves. The start-systole is on the left-hand side, and the end-systole is on the right-hand side of the table.

Next, a 3D model of strain rate throughout the systole was constructed (Additional file 1). Data from the above tables of the three standard apical projections were used. Looking at the LV from the apex and setting the anterior portion of the LV to 0 degrees (12 o'clock), two-chamber, four-chamber, and three-chamber views cover the radii of 0–180, 60–240, and 120–300 degrees, respectively. Thus, the zero-degree mark is set at the anterior LV wall in the two-chamber view, and the scale goes clockwise. Using spline interpolation, a total of

180 apical views were generated, each represented by an individual table containing strain rate values as in standard apical views.

In matrix algebra, a subtraction of two tables (matrices) is performed by element wise subtraction of the corresponding elements, which results in a new matrix of the same dimensions. Hence, the two geometrically opposing upper and lower halves of the strain rate table were subtracted from each other. Here, all symmetrical table entries of the opposing LV walls were subtracted one by one. This provided a new table containing the instantaneous differences in systolic strain rate in the two opposing walls based on the table from each particular view (Fig. 2).

In case of an almost symmetric LV contraction, such resulting table would contain uniform values relatively close to zero. On the other hand, an asymmetric contraction would result in greater strain rate differences of the two opposing walls. Hence, in case of LBBB, an early contraction in one wall (negative strain rate value) and a simultaneous stretch in opposite wall (positive strain rate value) is expected to lead to high absolute values when these symmetrical numbers are subtracted from each other. Subsequently, when the latter wall is activated and the former wall is stretched, high difference values with the opposite sign would be generated. To quantify these asymmetrical counteractions during systole, a standard deviation (SD) of such strain rate differences in the CAMM-plot-derived difference table



was calculated both in standard apical views and in each of the remaining 180 views. This value was called index of contractile asymmetry (ICA).

To assess regional differences in the LV contractile asymmetry, the LV was divided into six sectors, each 30 degrees wide (Fig. 3). Sector 1 included views covering the LV from 1 to 30 degrees in the clock-wise direction and simultaneously crossing the LV in 181 to 210 degrees. Sector 2 covered 31 to 60 degrees (and 211 to 240 degrees), sector 3 covered 61 to 90 degrees with the corresponding opposing angles, etc. An average ICA in each LV sector was calculated, providing six values per LV in each case.

An equivalent strain rate analysis was performed on the post-CRT echocardiograms. Also, the ICA quantification was repeated in a blinded fashion in a random sample of 10 pre-implantation echocardiograms to determine the degree of intra-observer agreement. The repeated analyses were performed in all six LV sector pairs, thus rendering a sample of 60 repeated observations. Intraclass correlation coefficient, coefficient of variation, and bias were calculated.

2D echocardiography and strain

LVEF and LVESV were calculated using the biplane Simpson's method by a blinded, experienced observer

using EchoPAC (r) software. The analyses were performed on the pre-implantation 2D echocardiography images and on the follow-up echocardiography images obtained at least 6 months after the implantation.

Longitudinal strain analysis based on standard apical views was performed on baseline echocardiograms. Global longitudinal strain (GLS) was calculated as a mean of peak strain values in 16 segments. Mechanical dispersion was calculated as an SD of time to peak of longitudinal strain in 16 segments [14]. Mechanical longitudinal strain dyssynchrony was defined as maximum time to peak delay in basal and midventricular segments in the apical four-chamber view using a cut-off of ≥ 130 ms [6, 15]. In addition, pre-implantation echocardiograms were stratified based on presence or absence of classical LBBB pattern [16]. Patients having more than 3 LV segments with inadequate tracking despite manual adjustment were excluded from all STE analyses.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were reported as mean along with their SD. Categorical values were reported as absolute numbers and percentages. Continuous variables were compared either by two-sample Student t-test or by




Fig. 3 Division of left ventricle into 12 sectors. The circle represents left ventricle (LV) from the bull's-eye view. The dashed line depicts right ventricle. Zero degrees correspond to the LV anterior wall in the two-chamber view. RV, right ventricle; 2ch, two-chamber view; 3ch, three-chamber view; 4ch, four-chamber view

Mann Whitney U test. Fisher exact test was used to compare categorical variables. Linear regression and Pearson's r were applied to evaluate association between two linear variables. Logarithmic transformation of data was used in case it improved the fit of the model. Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis was used to identify the cutoff and predictive values of ICA. Univariable logistic regression was used to evaluate predictor values selected from clinical perspective in advance. Afterwards, multivariable logistic regression models were built. Two-sided tests were used, and $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed on R (r) version 3.3.3.

Results

Demographic and baseline characteristics stratified by CRT response are provided in Table 1. Mean age was 68 ± 9 years, and 33 (37.1%) patients were female. Mean pre-implantation LVEF was $27.1 \pm 7.1\%$, and mean QRS duration was 163 ± 20 ms. According to the defined criteria of LVESV reduction by $\geq 15\%$ after 6 months, 66 (74.2%) patients out of 89 responded to CRT. Responders were near-significantly younger and had a lower prevalence of chronic kidney disease compared to non-responders. QRS duration did not differ between responders and non-responders to CRT ($p = 0.83$).

Pre-implantation ICA

An example of traditionally exported strain rate curves from EchoPAC (r) and CAMM plot-generated curves at the identical rows in the CAMM plot are shown in Additional file 2. Responders exhibited a significantly higher ICA on the pre-implantation echocardiograms than non-responders in LV sectors 1 to 5, i.e. in five sectors out of six (Fig. 4 left upper panel). The greatest difference in baseline ICA was seen in LV sector 4 (ICA4), i.e. the average ICA in sector 4 covering degrees 91 to 120 and the opposing 271 to 300: $0.83 \pm 0.24 \text{ s}^{-1}$ vs. $0.55 \pm 0.18 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $p < 0.0001$. Mean ICA at each angle from 0 to 180 degrees in responders, non-responders, and controls is graphically depicted in Fig. 5. ICA4 was chosen for further analysis. ICA4 in controls ($0.46 \pm 0.09 \text{ s}^{-1}$) was lower than ICA4 in responders ($p < 0.0001$) but not compared to non-responders ($p = 0.08$) (Table 2).

ROC analysis of ICA4 for prediction of CRT response yielded an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.83 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.73–0.93]. A threshold of ICA4 at 0.7 s^{-1} had sensitivity 71%, specificity 83%, positive predictive value (PPV) 92%, negative predictive value (NPV) 50%, and accuracy 74%. In addition, the reconstruction of 180 radii of strain rate throughout the systole enabled a visual representation of the LV activation from a bull's-eye view perspective (Additional file 3). A linear relationship between the degree of LVESV reduction after

Table 1 Baseline characteristics

	All subjects ($n = 89$)	Responders ($n = 66$)	Non-responders ($n = 23$)	p -value
Age, yrs	68 ± 9	67 ± 10	71 ± 8	0.05
Female, n (%)	33 (37.1)	27 (40.9)	6 (26.1)	0.32
Ischemic etiology, n (%)	54 (61)	38 (57.6)	16 (69.6)	0.34
NYHA-class				1
I, n (%)	1 (1.1)	1 (1.5)	0 (0)	
II, n (%)	30 (33.7)	23 (34.8)	7 (30.4)	
III, n (%)	58 (65.2)	43 (65.2)	15 (65.2)	
ACEI/ARB, n (%)	87 (97.8)	64 (97)	23 (100)	0.99
Beta-blockers, n (%)	85 (95.5)	63 (95.5)	22 (95.7)	0.99
Loop diuretics, n (%)	58 (65.2)	40 (60.6)	18 (78.3)	0.2
Aldosterone antagonists, n (%)	49 (55.1)	35 (53)	14 (60.9)	0.63
Statins, n (%)	63 (70.8)	45 (68.2)	18 (78.3)	0.43
eGFR $< 60 \text{ ml/min/1.73 m}^2$, n (%)	38 (42.7)	24 (36.4)	14 (60.9)	0.05
LVESV, ml	132.4 ± 52.9	131.9 ± 56.6	133.9 ± 41.3	0.86
LVEF, %	27.1 ± 7.1	27.3 ± 6.9	26.6 ± 7.7	0.7
GLS, %	-9.5 ± 3.4	-9.7 ± 3.7	-8.7 ± 2.5	0.12
Mechanical dispersion, ms	97 ± 32	97 ± 32	96 ± 34	0.95
QRS duration, ms	163 ± 20	163 ± 18	162 ± 26	0.83

ACEI Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, ARB Angiotensin II receptor blockers, EF Ejection fraction, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, ESV End-systolic volume, GLS Global longitudinal strain, LV left ventricle, NYHA New York Heart Association; *, $p < 0.05$




Fig. 4 CRT response by ICA in each LV sector. Left upper panel: Baseline ICA in CRT responders vs. non-responders. Right upper panel: Reduction of ICA4 as a function of baseline ICA4. Left lower panel: Reduction of ICA after CRT stratified by the severity of the baseline ICA4. Right lower panel: Reduction of ICA after CRT in the subgroup of patients with a low baseline contractile asymmetry (ICA4 $< 0.7 s^{-1}$). ICA, index of contractile asymmetry; ICA4, index of contractile asymmetry in LV sector 4; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; LV, left ventricle; r , Pearson's r ; *, $p < 0.05$




Fig. 5 Baseline ICA and reduction of ICA around the left ventricle after CRT. Left panel: Mean baseline ICA in CRT responders, non-responders, and controls in each degree around the LV. Digits 1 to 6 represent the LV sectors. Right panel: Mean relative reduction of ICA after CRT. Abbreviations as in Figs. 3 and 4

Table 2 Index of contractile asymmetry in standard apical views and six left ventricular sectors at baseline

	All subjects (n = 89)	Responders (n = 66)	Non-responders (n = 23)	p-value †	Controls (n = 10)	p-value ‡
Two-chamber view, s ⁻¹	0.52 ± 0.14	0.53 ± 0.15	0.48 ± 0.14	0.14	0.45 ± 0.13	0.6
Three-chamber view, s ⁻¹	0.71 ± 0.25	0.78 ± 0.23	0.51 ± 0.15	< 0.0001*	0.49 ± 0.12	0.66
Four-chamber view, s ⁻¹	0.75 ± 0.25	0.79 ± 0.25	0.62 ± 0.18	< 0.001*	0.42 ± 0.11	< 0.001*
ICA1, s ⁻¹	0.58 ± 0.18	0.61 ± 0.19	0.52 ± 0.16	0.03*	0.44 ± 0.12	0.12
ICA2, s ⁻¹	0.7 ± 0.23	0.74 ± 0.24	0.59 ± 0.18	0.002*	0.41 ± 0.11	0.002*
ICA3, s ⁻¹	0.76 ± 0.25	0.81 ± 0.24	0.6 ± 0.19	< 0.0001*	0.41 ± 0.08	< 0.001*
ICA4, s ⁻¹	0.75 ± 0.26	0.83 ± 0.24	0.55 ± 0.18	< 0.0001*	0.46 ± 0.09	0.08
ICA5, s ⁻¹	0.56 ± 0.18	0.61 ± 0.18	0.41 ± 0.1	< 0.0001*	0.43 ± 0.13	0.76
ICA6, s ⁻¹	0.42 ± 0.1	0.44 ± 0.11	0.39 ± 0.1	0.07	0.4 ± 0.13	0.89

ICA Index of contractile asymmetry; *, $p < 0.05$; †, responders vs. non-responders; ‡, non-responders vs. controls

CRT and baseline ICA4 was observed as well ($r = 0.47$, $p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 6 left panel).

Lowering the cut-off of ICA4 to 0.6 s^{-1} provided sensitivity 80%, specificity 61%, PPV 85%, NPV 52%, and accuracy 75%. Increasing the cut-off to 0.8 s^{-1} yielded sensitivity 52%, specificity 91%, PPV 94%, NPV 40%, and accuracy 62%. In the subgroup of patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy, baseline ICA4 had an AUC of 0.82 (95% CI 0.7–0.94), and in non-ischemic cardiomyopathy AUC was 0.83 (95% CI 0.64–1).

Reduction of ICA after CRT

Post-CRT echocardiograms at 6 months were available for the STE analysis in 79 (88.8%) patients (59 responders, 20 non-responders). Responders had a higher degree of relative reduction of ICA in LV sectors 3 to 5 covering degrees 61 to 150 (2 o'clock to 5 o'clock). In responders, ICA4 decreased by $-39 \pm 24\%$ vs. $1 \pm 41\%$ in

non-responders ($p < 0.0001$) (Table 3). There was a linear correlation between the relative reduction of LVESV and the relative reduction of ICA4 ($r = 0.41$, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 6 right panel).

Stratified by etiology of cardiomyopathy, relative reduction of ICA4 was greater both in responders with ischemic ($-36 \pm 26\%$ vs. $6 \pm 47\%$, $p = 0.001$) and non-ischemic ($-43 \pm 21\%$ vs. $-12 \pm 18\%$, $p = 0.004$) cardiomyopathy.

Correlation of baseline ICA and reduction of ICA

Baseline ICA4 was linearly correlated with relative reduction of ICA4 after CRT ($r = 0.73$, $p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 4 right upper panel). Responders with higher baseline ICA4 ($\geq 0.7 \text{ s}^{-1}$) achieved a significantly higher relative reduction of ICA in three LV sectors (3 to 5) compared to responders with a lower baseline ICA4 (Fig. 4 left lower panel). At the same time, in the patients with a




Table 3 Relative reduction of ICA after CRT

	All subjects (n = 79)	Responders (n = 59)	Non-responders (n = 20)	p-value
Two-chamber view, %	-4 ± 38	-4 ± 39	-2 ± 39	0.61
Three-chamber view, %	-24 ± 38	-35 ± 29	8 ± 43	< 0.0001*
Four-chamber view, %	-28 ± 34	-33 ± 30	-14 ± 43	0.04*
ICA1, %	-14 ± 35	-16 ± 35	-8 ± 37	0.39
ICA2, %	-26 ± 33	-30 ± 30	-14 ± 41	0.06
ICA3, %	-31 ± 31	-37 ± 26	-13 ± 39	0.005*
ICA4, %	-29 ± 34	-39 ± 24	1 ± 41	< 0.0001*
ICA5, %	-18 ± 37	-28 ± 29	12 ± 42	< 0.0001*
ICA6, %	1 ± 33	-1 ± 31	6 ± 40	0.57

ICA index of contractile asymmetry; *, $p < 0.05$

lower baseline ICA4 ($< 0.7 \text{ s}^{-1}$), CRT response was only associated with ICA reduction in two LV sectors (4 and 5, Fig. 4 right lower panel).

Time to peak delay and LBBB pattern

Mechanical dyssynchrony based on time to peak delay $\geq 130 \text{ ms}$ was present in 46 (69.7%) responders and 16 (69.6%) non-responders ($p = 0.99$). Responders had classical LBBB pattern in 52 (78.8%) cases and non-responders in 11 (47.8%) cases ($p = 0.008$), providing sensitivity 79%, specificity 52%, PPV 83%, NPV 46%, and accuracy 72%.

Logistic regression

The results of the logistic regression are summarized in Table 4. In a univariable model, CRT response was predicted by baseline ICA4, LBBB pattern, and renal function. In the multivariable models using age, renal function, and either ICA4 or LBBB pattern as predictors, ICA4 $\geq 0.7 \text{ s}^{-1}$ had odds ratio (OR) 10.1 (95% CI 3.2–40), and LBBB pattern had OR 4.44 (95% CI 1.54–13.6). In ROC analysis, the two models had AUC 0.8 (95% CI 0.7–0.91) and 0.72 (95% CI 0.6–0.85), respectively, $p = 0.18$ (Additional file 4).

Intraobserver analysis

ICA from the six LV sectors showed a good intra-observer agreement with intraclass correlation coefficient 0.89 (95% CI 0.82–0.93) and bias -0.04 s^{-1} (95% CI -0.23–0.15). Coefficient of variation of ICA was 16.7%.

Discussion

In this study of patients having LBBB and HF, the presence of contractile asymmetry in entire opposing LV walls measured as SD of differences in strain rate throughout systole (ICA) was associated with an increased likelihood of response to CRT. QRS duration, which is the mainstay for patient selection according to the current guidelines [10], did

not have any additional value in predicting response after CRT. Both baseline ICA4 and improvement in ICA4 exhibited a linear correlation with the degree of reverse LV remodeling. Moreover, the ability of ICA to predict response to CRT was present both in case of ischemic and non-ischemic cardiomyopathy. Classical LBBB pattern, but not time to peak delay was also found to predict response to CRT.

At current stage, the three CAMM plots from the standard apical views had to be saved manually as individual image files. Otherwise, besides traditional post-acquisition STE analysis of 2D echocardiograms, no further undertaking by the user was necessary in terms of image handling. Based on the data contained in the CAMM plot images, the fully automated calculation of ICA values in the six LV sectors was feasible and could be performed in less than 1 min in each patient. The rationale behind extending the ICA analysis beyond the three standard apical views and dividing LV into 12 sector pairs is uneven distribution of contractile asymmetry as demonstrated in Fig. 5.

In the subgroup of patients with a low baseline ICA4, who did respond to CRT, ICA improved more compared to non-responders in two LV sectors ranging from 91 to 150 degrees. Meanwhile, in responders with higher ICA4, both response rate to CRT and the degree of relative reduction of ICA was higher. The association between CRT response and improvement of dyssynchrony assessed by ICA is in line with previous studies demonstrating increased likelihood of CRT response in case of dyssynchrony reduction and worse outcome in case of induced dyssynchrony after CRT [17].

Echocardiography is a part of routine patient assessment before CRT. However, this use of echocardiography is limited to the assessment of LVEF $\leq 35\%$. Currently, QRS morphology and width are used to assess an abnormal electrical activation for the patient selection. Meanwhile, numerous CRT trials have attempted to use echocardiography by addressing intraventricular mechanical activation delay in order to extend its use beyond LVEF. Initially,

Table 4 Logistic regression of predictors of response to cardiac resynchronization therapy

	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value
Univariable analysis		
Age	0.95 (0.9–1)	0.07
Male sex	0.51 (0.17–1.4)	0.21
eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73 m ²	0.37 (0.13–0.96)	0.04*
QRS duration	1 (0.98–1.03)	0.79
Ischemic etiology	0.59 (0.2–1.59)	0.31
Time to peak ≥ 130 ms	1.01 (0.34–2.76)	0.99
LBBB pattern	4.05 (1.49–11.4)	0.007*
ICA4 ≥ 0.7 s ⁻¹	10.2 (3.35–38.5)	< 0.001*
Multivariable model 1		
Age	0.95 (0.89–1.01)	0.1
eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73 m ²	0.45 (0.15–1.26)	0.13
LBBB pattern	4.44 (1.54–13.6)	0.007*
Multivariable model 2		
Age	0.95 (0.89–1.01)	0.12
eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73 m ²	0.55 (0.18–1.69)	0.3
ICA4 ≥ 0.7 s ⁻¹	10.1 (3.2–40)	< 0.001*

ICA4 Index of contractile asymmetry in left ventricular sector 4, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, GLS Global longitudinal strain, LBBB Left bundle branch block; *, $p < 0.05$

echocardiographic techniques using M-mode or pulsed Doppler, such as septal-posterior wall motion delay or interventricular mechanical delay were used to quantify dyssynchrony [18]. In the recent times, TDI and segmental strain imaging applying STE were most widely used and published techniques for dyssynchrony assessment. Using these methods, dyssynchrony was quantified by measuring the time-to-peak of the opposing walls [12, 19]. More recently, 3D STE strain analysis was suggested for LV dyssynchrony quantification as well, although being limited by low volume rate [20]. However, despite promising results in single-center studies, these techniques failed to improve the patient selection for CRT beyond the ECG criteria in a multi-center setting [21].

STE has been demonstrated to be more robust against angular error than TDI. Also, unlike time to peak by TDI, STE has been applied in more sophisticated dyssynchrony assessment methods such as cross-correlation analysis, classical LBBB pattern, or 3D activation pattern [4, 6, 16, 22, 23]. Along with septal rebound stretch, apical rocking, and septal flash [7, 24], they have shown the potential to identify responders to CRT in addition to ECG criteria. The current method could be an addition to the club of these new methods. However, this method is very elaborate and uses more than > 160 data lines from each myocardial wall in the apical views proving a thorough assessment of contractile asymmetry between the myocardial walls and a high predictive value for CRT response.

Myocardial deformation parameters, including strain rate, are load dependent [25]. Recently, assessment of myocardial work based on pressure-strain loops incorporating both STE and LV pressure, has shown promising results in predicting CRT response [26, 27]. Calculation of myocardial work involves multiplying regional strain rate by LV pressure [26]. While LV pressure remains constant determined by arterial blood pressure, the actual variability among segmental work is due to segmental differences in strain rate. ICA might therefore be regarded as a simplified method of assessing unbalance between myocardial work in the opposing LV walls.

This approach was taken a step further to examine LV outside the standard apical views. The interpolation provides a dynamic 3D model of the mechanical LV activation as well as permits assessment of strain rate values in any “virtual” apical view. LV sector 4 located between 91 and 120 degrees corresponding to 3 to 4 o’clock appears to be in accordance with the direction of LV activation in LBBB with an early contraction of LV septum and a pre-stretch followed by a delayed contraction of the lateral wall [28]. Moreover, sectors 4 and 5 seem to represent the typical location of the LV lead placement in the lateral or posterolateral wall [10]. This strongly suggests that the angle of optimal dyssynchrony assessment before CRT may be located outside the standard views. Similarly, the same might be true for the direction of the greatest reduction of contractile LV asymmetry after CRT.

While data on LV scar were not available, from a theoretical point of view, ICA is expected to be low in a scarred LV sector. In case only one of the opposing walls contracts while the other is stretched, the SD of the strain rate differences is expected to be low. This in turn suggests a reduced probability of response to CRT, should the lead be placed in the scarred sector.

Integration of the present analysis algorithm into the current software would allow a useful and clear visual aid that can be used to highlight mechanical LV dyssynchrony. ICA has a potential to improve the patient selection for CRT by differentiating a mechanically dyssynchronous LV responsive to CRT from a poorly contracting LV without dyssynchrony. The prognostic value of targeted LV lead placement guided by ICA would be of interest in future research. The same is true for application of ICA on images of even higher temporal resolution to gain further insights into contractile asymmetry both in healthy subjects and in disease.

Study limitations

Although the processing of the data was performed in a freely available statistics software, the algorithm behind the generation of the original CAMM plots in the echocardiography package is currently unavailable in the public domain. Myocardial scar burden was not taken

into account in this study. In addition, a more detailed clinical status of the patients, including natriuretic peptides, was not available.

Conclusions

ICA allows a visual 3D representation of dyssynchronous contraction outside of traditional apical views. The study suggests that high pre-implantation contractile asymmetry is associated with a high responder rate. The present method can both be useful in future selection of patients for CRT implantation and may reduce the number of non-responders.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12947-019-0170-2>.

Additional file 1. Algorithm of conversion of CAMM plot to a matrix containing strain rate values and the principle of building a 3D strain rate model.

Additional file 2. Comparison of exported EchoPAC (r) systolic strain rate values and CAMM plot-based values in a three-chamber view representing the six traditional strain rate curves.

Additional file 3. Polar plots of dynamic representation of baseline left ventricular strain rate during the systole in a responder and a non-responder to CRT presented as a running loop.

Additional file 4. Receiver operating characteristics plots of multivariable models containing age, renal function, and either ICA4 or classical LBBB pattern.

Abbreviations

AUC: Area under curve; CAMM: Curved anatomical M-mode; CI: Confidence interval; CRT: Cardiac resynchronization therapy; EF: Ejection fraction; ESV: End-systolic volume; HF: Heart failure; ICA: Index of contractile asymmetry; ICA4: Index of contractile asymmetry in left ventricular sector 4; LBBB: Left bundle branch block; LV: Left ventricle; NPV: Negative predictive value; PPV: Positive predictive value; ROC: Receiver operating characteristics; RV: Right ventricle; SD: Standard deviation; STE: Speckle tracking echocardiography; TDI: Tissue Doppler imaging

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

TZ developed and performed the ICA calculations, analyzed echocardiographic images, collected clinical data, designed the study, and drafted the manuscript. BT designed the study, analyzed echocardiographic images, and participated in revision of the manuscript. SR and AMT implanted CRT devices and participated in the revision of the manuscript. NEB and TFH acquired and analyzed echocardiographic images and participated in the revision of the manuscript. KJGE and JK participated in the revision of the manuscript. NR and PS acquired and analyzed echocardiographic images, designed the study, and participated in the revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used in the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at both centers and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

TZ: inventor, patent pending CA2994617, AU2018200974, US, February 9, 2018, all rights owned by Aalborg University Hospital, North Denmark Region, speaker for AstraZeneca. BT, SR, AMT, NEB, KJGE, JK and TFH: none. NR: speaker for GE Healthcare. PS: speaker for GE Healthcare, advisory board member (Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., Astra Zeneca Pharmaceuticals, Biotronik), research grants Wics, Bayer, GE Healthcare.

Author details

¹Department of Cardiology, Aalborg University Hospital, Hobrovej 18-22, 9100 Aalborg, Denmark. ²Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Sdr. Skovvej 15, 9000 Aalborg, Denmark. ³Institute of Clinical Medicine, Copenhagen University, Blegdamsvej 3B, 2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark. ⁴Zealand University Hospital, Roskilde, Sygehusvej 10, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark. ⁵Division of Cardiovascular Disease, Duke University Medical Center, 2301 Erwin Rd, Durham, North Carolina 27710, USA. ⁶Department of Cardiology, Herlev-Gentofte University Hospital, Kildegårdsvej 28, 2900 Hellerup, Denmark. ⁷Department of Cardiology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Blegdamsvej 9, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark.

Received: 23 March 2019 Accepted: 6 September 2019

Published online: 10 October 2019

References

1. Yu C-M, Gorcsan J, Bleeker GB, Zhang Q, Schalij MJ, Suffoletto MS, et al. Usefulness of tissue Doppler velocity and strain dyssynchrony for predicting left ventricular reverse remodeling response after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Am J Cardiol*. 2007;100:1263–70.
2. Gorcsan J, Oyenu O, Habib PJ, Tanaka H, Adelstein EC, Hara H, et al. Relationship of echocardiographic dyssynchrony to long-term survival after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Circulation*. 2010;122:1910–8.
3. Wang C-L, Powell BD, Redfield MM, Miyazaki C, Fine NM, Olson LJ, et al. Left ventricular discoordination index measured by speckle tracking strain rate imaging predicts reverse remodelling and survival after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2012;14:517–25.
4. Risum N, Williams ES, Khouri MG, Jackson KP, Olsen NT, Jons C, et al. Mechanical dyssynchrony evaluated by tissue Doppler cross-correlation analysis is associated with long-term survival in patients after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34:48–56.
5. Stankovic I, Aaronson M, Smith H-J, Vörös G, Kongsgaard E, Neskovic AN, et al. Dynamic relationship of left-ventricular dyssynchrony and contractile reserve in patients undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Eur Heart J*. 2014;35:48–55.
6. Risum N, Tayal B, Hansen TF, Bruun NE, Jensen MT, Lauridsen TK, et al. Identification of typical left bundle branch block contraction by strain echocardiography is additive to electrocardiography in prediction of long-term outcome after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;66:631–41.
7. Stankovic I, Prinz C, Ciarka A, Daraban AM, Kotrc M, Aaronson M, et al. Relationship of visually assessed apical rocking and septal flash to response and long-term survival following cardiac resynchronization therapy (PREDICT-CRT). *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016;17:262–9.
8. Tayal B, Sogaard P, Delgado-Montero A, Goda A, Saba S, Risum N, et al. Interaction of left ventricular remodeling and regional Dyssynchrony on long-term prognosis after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2017;30:244–50.
9. Gorcsan J, Tayal B. Newer echocardiographic techniques in cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Card Electrophysiol Clin*. 2015;7:609–18.
10. European Society of Cardiology (ESC), European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), Brignole M, Auricchio A, Baron-Esquivias G, Bordachar P, et al. 2013 ESC guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy: The task force on cardiac pacing and resynchronization therapy of the

- European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed in collaboration with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). *Europace*. 2013;15:1070–118.
11. Pitzalis MV, Iacoviello M, Romito R, Massari F, Rizzon B, Luzzi G, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy tailored by echocardiographic evaluation of ventricular asynchrony. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;40:1615–22.
 12. Yu C-M, Fung W-H, Lin H, Zhang Q, Sanderson JE, Lau C-P. Predictors of left ventricular reverse remodeling after cardiac resynchronization therapy for heart failure secondary to idiopathic dilated or ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol*. 2003;91:684–8.
 13. Chung ES, Leon AR, Tavazzi L, Sun J-P, Nihoyannopoulos P, Merlino J, et al. Results of the predictors of response to CRT (PROSPECT) trial. *Circulation*. 2008;117:2608–16.
 14. Haugaa KH, Goebel B, Dahlslett T, Meyer K, Jung C, Lauten A, et al. Risk assessment of ventricular arrhythmias in patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy by strain echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2012;25:667–73.
 15. Tanaka H, Nesser H-J, Buck T, Oyenuga O, Jánosi RA, Winter S, et al. Dyssynchrony by speckle-tracking echocardiography and response to cardiac resynchronization therapy: results of the speckle tracking and resynchronization (STAR) study. *Eur Heart J*. 2010;31:1690–700.
 16. Risum N, Jons C, Olsen NT, Fritz-Hansen T, Bruun NE, Højgaard MV, et al. Simple regional strain pattern analysis to predict response to cardiac resynchronization therapy: rationale, initial results, and advantages. *Am Heart J*. 2012;163:697–704.
 17. Tayal B, Gorcsan J, Delgado-Montero A, Marek JJ, Haugaa KH, Ryo K, et al. Mechanical Dyssynchrony by tissue Doppler cross-correlation is associated with risk for complex ventricular arrhythmias after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2015;28:1474–81.
 18. Cazeau S, Bordachar P, Jauvert G, Lazarus A, Alonso C, Vandrell MC, et al. Echocardiographic modeling of cardiac dyssynchrony before and during multisite stimulation: a prospective study. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2003;26:137–43.
 19. Bax JJ, Marwick TH, Molhoek SG, Bleeker GB, van Erven L, Boersma E, et al. Left ventricular dyssynchrony predicts benefit of cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with end-stage heart failure before pacemaker implantation. *Am J Cardiol*. 2003;92:1238–40.
 20. Tanaka H, Hara H, Saba S, Gorcsan J. Usefulness of three-dimensional speckle tracking strain to quantify dyssynchrony and the site of latest mechanical activation. *Am J Cardiol*. 2010;105:235–42.
 21. Ruschitzka F, Abraham WT, Singh JP, Bax JJ, Borer JS, Brugada J, et al. Cardiac-resynchronization therapy in heart failure with a narrow QRS complex. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369:1395–405.
 22. Seo Y, Ishizu T, Kawamura R, Yamamoto M, Kuroki K, Igarashi M, et al. Three-dimensional propagation imaging of left ventricular activation by speckle-tracking echocardiography to predict responses to cardiac resynchronization therapy. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2015;28:606–14.
 23. Tayal B, Gorcsan J, Bax JJ, Risum N, Olsen NT, Singh JP, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with heart failure and narrow QRS complexes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;71:1325–33.
 24. De Boeck BWL, Teske AJ, Meine M, Leenders GE, Cramer MJ, Prinzen FW, et al. Septal rebound stretch reflects the functional substrate to cardiac resynchronization therapy and predicts volumetric and neurohormonal response. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2009;11:863–71.
 25. Burns AT, La Gerche A, D'hooge J, Maclsaac AI, Prior DL. Left ventricular strain and strain rate: characterization of the effect of load in human subjects. *Eur J Echocardiogr*. 2010;11:283–9.
 26. Zweerink A, de Roest GJ, Wu L, Nijveldt R, de Cock CC, van Rossum AC, et al. Prediction of acute response to cardiac resynchronization therapy by means of the imbalance in regional left ventricular myocardial work. *J Card Fail*. 2016;22:133–42.
 27. Galli E, Leclercq C, Fournet M, Hubert A, Bernard A, Smiseth OA, et al. Value of myocardial work estimation in the prediction of response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2018;31:220–30.
 28. Strauss DG, Selvester RH, Wagner GS. Defining left bundle branch block in the era of cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;107:927–34.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

